

ASSESSMENT OF TREES OUTSIDE FOREST (ToF) BENEFITS IN WAMAKKO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF SOKOTO STATE, NIGERIA

Abdullahi, S; A. A. Barau and A. I. Lawal*

¹Department of Forestry and Environment, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria ²Department of Agric Extention and Rural Development, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria Corresponding author: auwalibrahimlawal7@gmail.com/08063280771

Abstract

A study on assessment on benefits of Trees Outside Forest (ToF) was carried out at Wamakko Local Government Area, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Multi stage sampling design was used to select three (3) wards based on distribution and abundance of ToF, and one hundred and twenty (120) respondents were selected. Interview schedule were administered to the respondents. Descriptive statistics was used in analyzing the data. The results obtained indicated that 19.28%, 10.48% and 10% of the respondents from Kaura kimba, Gumbi and Dundaye used ToF as their major sources of fuel wood. Forty-two (42) different ToF species were identified. The results further revealed that deforestation was the major management challenge on services and function of ToF. Conclusively, there is no doubt that with continued growth in populations, coupled with the shrinking of forest, ToF is especially utilized for several purposes particularly as source of fuel wood, and these trees are in a very strong position to substantially relieve to the pressure on forest resources. Therefore, efficient utilization of ToF shall be given for promoting sustainable rural livelihood.

Keywords: Trees Outside Forest; Species; Kaura kimba; Gumbi; Dundaye; Fuel Wood

Introduction

Deforestation is now a global problem, due to inappropriate management and lack of appropriate forest policies and legislations (Gibbs *et al.*, 2010). Population growth and agricultural land expansion were today the major cause of deforestation (Foley *et al.*, 2011). Vigorously carry out monitoring and evaluation of forest resources (FAO, 2010), which is important for the multitude services provided by forests. However, there are some trees that grow outside the forest, even if they provide similar services to the forest trees, which are not usually considered by forest monitoring programs, these trees are termed as trees outside forest (ToF) (Pain-Orcet and Bellefontaine., 2004). Trees outside forest (ToF) refers to trees that do not belong to forests and or woodlands, in area of human influence where conditions for tree growth are favorable and are found in spatial patterns and a wide range of situations (FAO, 2010).

Basically, "trees outside forest refers to trees on land not defined as forest and other wooded land" (Bellefontaine *et al.*, 2002). This definition is related to the two FAO categories; forest and other wooded land (Foresta *et al.*, 2013). Forest, other wooded land, trees outside forest and all trees growing in a site were covered in FAO's Global Assessment of Forest and other tree resources (Foresta *et al.*, 2013).

Trees outside forest have increasingly becomes an important feature in the build-up and or agricultural lands (Bellefontaine *et al.*, 2001; Gutzwiller, 2002; Konijnendijk *et al.*, 2005; Forester *et al.*, 2013). Trees outside forest in agricultural landscape are often referred to as scattered, isolated or paddock trees (Manning *et al.*, 2009; Gibbons *et al.*, 1989). All trees in cities and towns planted at back yards, road sides and streets are also known as trees outside forest (Tyrvaienen *et al.*, 2005).

Recently, however, local and international communities have been awakened to the importance of these trees and their increasing importance in scientific, economic and policy discussions (FAO, 2010). Trees outside forest are under threat from logging and agricultural expansion receiving no adequate consideration, thus, neglected. (FAO, 2001). The drivers of trees outside forest spatial distribution either in urbanization or in agricultural landscapes are not much known, because they are often not present in forest inventories (Rossi *et al.*, 2016). It is against the afore-highlighted that the present study is expected to provide answers to: the benefits provided by the ToF and the challenges faced in managing ToF, as well as the species of ToF found in the study area?

Materials and Methods

Study Area

This research was conducted at Wamakko Local Government Area, which lies on a latitude of $13^0 02^{\circ} 16^{\circ}$ N and longitude $5^0 5^{\circ} 37^{\circ}$ E in Sokoto state. Wamakko Local Government Area is located in the Sudan savannah region of Nigeria with scattered trees and shrubs, characterized with a prolong dry season and a short rainy season (with a rainfall distribution of 553.43-628.94mm) and a relative humidity of about 16-55.5% during the dry season and can rise up to 81% during the rainy season (NMA, 2009).

Sampling Procedure and Sampling Size

Reconnaissance survey was done in the study area to observe and assess trees outside forest in the area. Multi stage sampling design was used for this study, Wamakko Local Government constitutes eleven wards (Arkilla, Bado/Kasarawa, Dundaye/Gumburawa, Gidan Yaro, Gidan Hamidu, Gumbi, Gwamatse, Kimba/Gedewa, Kalambaina, Kammata, Wamakko), three (3) of these wards were selected. Similarly, three (3) villages (Dundaye, Kimba and Gumbi) were selected purposively from each of the initially identified wards due to the abundance and distribution of ToF in the areas. Forty (40) respondents were interviewed purposively based on

availability and willingness from each of the respective villages to respond to the questionnaire, which makes a total sample size of 120 respondents.

Data Collection

Secondary and Primary data were both used in this study. Secondary data were obtained from relevant literature and research reports specific to the area of study. Questionnaires/scheduled interview were used in the collection of the primary data. Data collected include; species of ToF, information on benefits provided by ToF and challenges faced in managing ToF in the study area.

Data Analysis

The data collected were subjected to descriptive statistics using frequency distribution and percentages to achieve the study objectives.

Results and Discusion

Perceived Benefits Provided by Trees Outside Forest (ToF) in the Area under Study

One important observation in the result from table 1 below was that almost all the respondents from the villages 15.38% of Dundaye, 15.71% of Gumbi, and 13.25% of Kaura kimba used the trees for medicinal and shade by 13.85% of respondents of Dundaye, 13.81% of the respondents from Gumbi, and 16.27% of Kaura kimba, which agreed with Okafor, (1980); Arnold, (1990) findings that ToF provide numerous goods and services that includes fuelwood, herbal medicines, and fibers. Another important observation was that 13.85%, 10.48%, and 19.28% of respondents from the villages major source of fuelwood is from ToF, this result agrees with Arnold *et al.* (2003) who opined that ToF supply majority of fuelwood consumed by rural populace. This is also in conformity with the finding of Food Agricultural Organization (2010) who described ToF products (food, medicine, cooking fuel, animal fodder and construction materials) as critical for the maintaining or supporting hundreds of millions of people.

The table also showed 8.46%, 7.62% 11.45% of the respondents from Dundaye, Gumbi and Kaura kimba villages used the trees for fencing/boundary of their homes and farmland. This is in conformity with Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, (2005) who stated that ToF are used for fencing to protect individual infrastructure from damages. However, 6.15%, 6.19%, and 11.45% of the respondents of the villages said the ToF serve as windbreak, 4.62% of respondents from Dundaye, 9.05% of Gumbi respondents also said the trees on their farmland improves the soil fertility, and 11.54% of the respondents, and 3.61% of respondents said the trees helps in preventing desertification in the area. This agreed with Dantani *et al.*, (2020) who reported that 25.8% farmers in Gaya Local Government area use ToF as wind breaks, and 54.2% of the respondents said ToF improve soil fertility on their farmland, and 5.0% of the respondents said ToF prevent desertification.

The study further revealed that 10.95% of the respondents in Gumbi, and 10.24% of the respondents from Kaura kimba used the trees for aesthetic value to add beauty to their surroundings by adding color to the area for importance. This in conformity with Herzog (2000) who observed that trees outside forest are used on scenic or recreation. The results also showed that 9.23%, 5.71% and 3.61% of the respondent from the villages stated that ToF are source of timber and 7.62% and 4.22% of respondents used the trees for poles. Longi *et al.*, (1999) and Singh *et al.*, (2012) also reported ToF as the main source for forest communities demand on timber and firewood in Terai (plain) Nepal. 6.92% and 9.05% of the respondents from Dundaye and Gumbi said ToF are under agroforestry management and 3.81% of Gumbi and 6.63% of Kaura kimba respondents stated that the trees are in garden; this agreed with Baral *et al.*, (2013) who reported the acceptance of agroforestry system of farming as an important component of rural farming systems in Nepal and mostly considered ToF because of the predominance of agricultural land use.

Benefits]	Dundaye		Gumbi		Kaura Kimba	
	Frequency	Percentage%	Frequency	Percentage%	Frequency	Percentage%	
Medicinal	20	15.38	33	15.71	22	13.25	
Agroforestry	9	6.92	19	9.05	-	-	
Fencing/Boundary	11	8.46	16	7.62	19	11.45	
Aesthetic	13	10.00	23	10.95	17	10.24	
Fuelwood	18	13.85	22	10.48	32	19.28	
Garden	-	-	8	3.81	11	6.63	
Timber	12	9.23	12	5.71	6	3.61	
Shade	18	13.85	29	13.81	27	16.27	
Serve as windbreak	8	6.15	16	7.62	7	4.22	
Improve soil fertility	6	4.62	13	6.19	19	11.45	
Prevent desertification	15	11.54	19	9.05	6	3.61	
Total	130*	100%	210*	100%	166*	100%	
Sources: Field survey 2021			* Multiple responses were observed				

Perceived Challenges in Managing Trees Outside Forest (ToF)

Table 2 showed that 51.43% of the respondents from Dundaye,; 29.59% of Gumbi respondents, and 30.61% of Kaura kimba; perceived that deforestation is the major challenge, which is in conformity with (Syaka and Patricia, 2003) who stated that increase in population on limited forest and land resources resulted to a failure of traditional tree-based practices of vegetation cover regeneration. The results also revealed that inadequate knowledge and management skills account for 24.29%, 21.43% and 27.55% of respondents from Dundaye, Gumbi and Kaura kimba respectively. Damage by Pest and Disease account for 14.29%, 9.19% and 5.71% of Gumbi, Kaura kimba, and Dundaye respondents respectively. Limited water account for 32.65%, 22.45% and 10% of respondents from Kaura kimba, Gumbi and Dundaye respectively. Laws and regulation account for 8.57% of the respondents from Dundaye, and 12.24% of respondents from Gumbi ;which agreed with Dogra and Chauhan, (2016) who observed that trees outside forest are not supported by financial institutions and extension services; unavailable improved planting material, no separate laws and regulations for trees outside forests, guided by forest act, and trees are host to insects and birds are part of many constraints to growth and development of trees outside forest.

Table 2: Perceived Challenges in Managing ToF in the Study Area

Challenges	Dundaye		Gumbi		Kaura kimba	
	Frequency	Percentage %	Frequency	Percentage %	Frequency	Percentage %
Limited water	7	10	22	22.45	32	32.65
Deforestation	36	51.43	29	29.59	30	30.61
Inadequate knowledge skills	17	24.29	21	21.43	27	27.55
Pest and Disease	4	5.71	14	14.29	9	9.19
Laws and regulation	6	8.57	12	12.24		
Total	70*	100%	98*	100%	98*	100%
C E'11 2021		*	M 1/2 1	1 1		

Sources: Field survey 2021

* Multiple responses were observed

Species of Trees Outside Forest (ToF)

Table 3 showed that forty-two (42) different species of ToF were identified in the study area. This is in line with the findings of Dantani *et al.* (2020) who identified *Tamarindus indica, Adansonia digitata, Faidherbia albida, Acacia nicotica, Azadirachta indica* as ToF species in Gaya Local Government Area of Kano State, Nigeria.

Table 3: ToF Species Dominance in the Study Area

 S/N	Species name	Common name	Local name	Dundaye	Gumbi	Kaura
	-					kimba
1.	Adansonia digitate	Baobab	Ichen kuka	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2.	Acacia nilotica	Gum Arabic	Bagaruwa	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
3.	Acacia seyal	Vachellia seyal	Farar kaya	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
4.	Anogeissus leocarpus	African birch	Marke	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
5.	Azadirachta indica	Neem tree	Dogonyaro	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
6.	Bauhinia monandra	Pink bauhinia	Alkawarii	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
7.	Bauhinia rufescens	Mauritania	Jirga	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
8.	Balanites aegyptiaca	Desert date	Aduwa	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
9.	Cassia arereh	Mishuski	Malga	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
10.	Calotropis procera	Soom apple	Tumfafiya	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
11.	Carica papaya	Pawpaw	Gwanda	\checkmark	X	X
12.	Ceiba pentandra	Silk cotton tree	Rinin masar	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
13.	Citrus lemon	Lemon	Lemon tsami	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
14.	Combretum geitonophylum	Red bushwillow	Farar taramniya	X	\checkmark	\checkmark
15.	Combretum glutinosum	Bushwillow	Jar taramniya	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
16.	Commiphora Africana	African myrrh	Dashi	X	\checkmark	X
17.	Diospyros mespiliformis	Jackal berry tree	Kaiwa	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
18.	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	River red gum	Turare	\checkmark	\checkmark	X

307Proceedings of the 8th Biennial conference of the Forests & Forest Products Society,

Held at the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria. 14th - 20th August, 2022

19.	Faidherbia albida	Apple ring acacia	Gawo	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
20.	Ficus polita	Heart leaved	Durumi	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
21.	Ficus sycomorous	Sycamore	Baure	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
22.	Ficus thonningii	Chinese banyan	Cediya	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
23.	Gmelina arborea	Gmelina	Mulela	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
24.	Guiera senegalensis	Moshi medicine	Sabara	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
25.	Hyphaene thebaica	Doum palm	Goriba	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
26.	Khaya senegalensis	African mahogany	Madacci	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
27.	Mangifera indica	Mango	Mangoro	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
28.	Mimosa pigra	Giant sensitive tree	Gumbi	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
29.	Moringa oleifera	Drum stick tree	Zogala	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
30.	Olea europaea	Olive tree	Zaitun	\checkmark	х	\checkmark
31.	Parkia biglobosa	African locust bean	Doruwa	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
32.	Pilliostigma reticulatum	Camel foot tree	Kalgo	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
33.	Prosopis Africana	African mesquite	Kirya	X	\checkmark	\checkmark
34.	Phoenix dactylifera	Date palm	Dabino	\checkmark	\checkmark	X
35.	Psidium guajava	Guava	Gwaba	\checkmark	X	\checkmark
36.	Sclerocarya birrea	Jelly plum	Nunu	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
37.	Tamarindus indica	Tamarind	Tsamiya	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
38.	Terminalia mantaly	Madagascar almond	Ichen setlite	\checkmark	X	X
39.	Terminalia catappa	Indian almond	Fruits, Umbrela	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
40.	Vitex doniana	Black plum	Dunya	X	\checkmark	\checkmark
41.	Ziziphus mauritiana	Indian jujube	Magarya	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
42.	Ziziphus spina-christi	Christ's thorn jujube	Kurna	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Sources: Field survey 2021 \checkmark Identified X not identified

Conclusion and Recommendations

The present study focused on trees outside forests, which play very important roles in the nutritional and economic life of the people. There is no doubt that with continued growth in populations, coupled with shrinking forests, and degraded ecosystems, trees outside forest are bound to play a much greater local and global role in meeting the challenges of resource sustainability, poverty reduction and in contributing to food security. The findings of this research revealed that, these trees are in a very relevant position to substantially relieve the pressure on forest resources, conserve farmlands, and boost agricultural productivity.

References

Arnold, J.E.M (1990): Tree components in farming Systems. Unasylva 160, Vol. 41 p35

- Arnold, M., G. Kohlin, R. Persson, and G. Shepherd, (2003). *Fuelwood Revisited: What has Changed in the Last Decade?* CIFOR Occasional Paper No 39, Bogor, 35 pp.
- Baral S.K., Malla, R., Khanal, S., and Shakya, R. (2013). Trees on farms: diversity, carbon pool and contribution to rural livelihoods in Kanchanpur District of Nepal. *Banko Janakari*, 23(1):3-11.
- Bellefontaine R., Petit S., Pain-Orcet, M., Deleporte, P., Bertault, J.G. (2001). Les arbres hors forêt: vers une meilleure prise en compte (No. 35). FAO
- Bellefontaine, R., Petit, S., Pain, O., Deleporte, P. & Bertault, J.G. (2002) Trees outside forests: towards better awareness, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, 2016.
- Dantani, A., Babangida, A., Mustapha, A. S., Ridwan, B. M., Muhammed, A. G and Ahmed Bello (2020). Trees Outside Forests (Trees on Farmlands): Assessment of Farmers Perception in Gaya Local Government Area, Kano State, Nigeria. *East African Scholars. Journal of Agriculture and Life Science*; 3(11):371-377
- Dogra AS, Chauhan SK (2016) Trees outside forests in India: socio-economic, environmental and policy issues. In: Parthiban KT, Seenivasan R (eds) Forest technologies- a complete value chain approach, vol 1. Scientific Publishers, pp 84–102
- FAO (2001) Global forest resources assessment 2000. Main report. FAO forestry paper 140. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (2010), Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010. FAO forestry paper 163:10-15.

- Foley, J.A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman,K.A., Cassidy, E.S., Gerber, J.S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N. O'Connell, D.C Ray, D. K., West, P. C., Balzer, C., Bennett, E. M., Carpenter, S. R. Hill, J., Monfreda, C., Polasky, S., Rockström, J., Sheehan, J., Siebert, S., Tilman, D. & Zaks, D. P. M. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478, 337–342.
- Food and Agricultural Organization (2001): Trees outside forests. Towards rural and urban integrated resources management: Contribution to the forest resources assessment 2000 report. Forest conservation research and education service: Forestry Department. FAO, Rome. 1- 13.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (2010). Global Forest Resources Assessment. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Italy, 340.
- Forest Survey of India (2019) India State of Forest Report. Dehradun, India.
- Foresta Hde, Somarriba E, Temu A, Boulanger, D., & Feuily H. (2013). Towards the assessment of trees outside forests, Resources Assessment working paper 183. FAO Rome.
- Gibbon D. and Schultz, M. (1989). Agricultural Systems in the Eastern Hills of Nepal: Present Situations and Opportunities for Innovative Research and Extension. PAC Technical Paper 108, Pakhribas Agricultural Center, Dhankuta, Nepal.
- Gibbs, H.K., Ruesch, A.S., Achard, F., Clayton, M.K., Holmgren, P., and Ramankutty, N. (2010). Foley Tropical forests were the primary sources of new agricultural land in the 1980s and 1990s. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107(38), 16732–16737
- Gutzwiller K (ed) (2002). Applying landscape ecology in biological conservation. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Herzog F. (2000). The importance of perennial trees for the balance of northern European agricultural landscapes. *Unasylva*, 51:42-48.
- Konijnendijk CC, Nilsson K, Randrup TB (eds) (2005). Urban forests and trees: a reference book. Springer, Berlin.
- Longi A. J. and P.K. Ramchandran N. (1999). Tree Outside Forests: Agro-, Community and Urban Forestry. New Forests, 17, 145-174
- Manning A.D., Gibbons P., Lindenmayer D.B. (2009). Scattered trees: a complementary strategy for facilitating adaptive responses to climate change in modified landscapes? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46: 915-919.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Health Synthesis and Biodiversity Synthesis; World Health Organization (WHO), World Research Institute: Geneva, Switzerland, 2005.
- NMA. (2009). Sokoto weather. Weather record Book of Sultan Abubakar international Airport, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Pp1-2.
- Okafor J.C. (1980): Edible indigenous woody plants in the rural Economy of the Nigerian Forest Zone. *Forest Ecology and Mgt*, 3(1): 45-54.
- Pain-Orcet, M., & Bellefontaine, R. (2004). Trees Outside the Forest: a new perspective on the mana agreement of forest resources in the tropics, in Barbin, (ed) Beyond Tropical deforestation UNESCO/CIRAD, Paris, 423-430.
- Rossi J. P., Garcia, J., Roques, A., and Rousselet, J. (2016). Trees outside forests in agricultural landscapes: spatial distribution and impact on habitat connectivity for forest organisms. *Landscape Ecology*, 31(2), 243-254.
- Singh K. and Chand P. (2012). Above-ground tree outside forest (ToF) phytomass and carbon estimation in the semi-arid region of southern Haryana: A synthesis approach of remote sensing and field data. *Journal Earth System Science*, 121(6):1469–1482.
- Syaka Sadio and Patricia Negreros-Castillo. Trees Outside Forests: Contributing Towards Sustainable Development. Agroforestry and Land Use Officer, Forest Resources Division, Forestry Department, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy. Syaka.Sadio@fao.org.
- Tyrvainen L, Pauleit S, Seeland K, de Vries S (2005). Benefits and uses of urban forests and trees. In: Konijnendijk CC, Nilsson K, Randrup TB (eds) Urban forests and trees: a reference book. Springer, Berlin, pp 81–114.